

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MARIO CAMACHO,

Petitioner,

v.

WILLIAM REUBART, *et al.*,

Respondents.

Case No. 3:20-cv-00488-RCJ-CSD


**ORDER**

In this habeas corpus action, the petitioner, Mario Camacho, represented by appointed counsel, filed a second amended petition for writ of habeas corpus on January 11, 2022 (ECF No. 35). After a 60-day initial period and a 45-day extension of time, Respondents were due to respond to the second amended petition by April 28, 2022. See Order entered January 8, 2021 (ECF No. 22) (60 days for response); Order entered March 25, 2022 (ECF No. 39) (30-day extension).

On April 28, 2022, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF No. 40), requesting a further 33-day extension of time, to May 31, 2022. Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of personal medical/health issues (as was the first extension). Petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time. The Court finds that the motion for extension of time is made in good faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the extension of time.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' Motion for Enlargement of Time (ECF No. 40) is **GRANTED**. Respondent will have until and including May 31, 2022, to file a response to Petitioner's second amended petition for writ of habeas corpus.

DATED THIS 29th day of April, 2022.

  
ROBERT C. JONES  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE